

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/439,264		11/12/1999	KUNIHIKO MIWA	JA9-98-171	JA9-98-171 1450	
26582	7590	02/13/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HOLLAN		•	BACKER,	BACKER, FIRMIN		
555 17TH STREET, SUITE 3200 DENVER, CO 80201				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2211211,				3621		
				DATE MAILED: 02/13/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· •	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/439,264	MIWA ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Firmin Backer	3621	nu					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) FROM								
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 J</u>	<u>anuary 2004</u> .							
<i>'</i>	s action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) <u>21-24,27-29 and 32-34</u> is/are pending	g in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-24,27-29 and 32-34</u> is/are rejected								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	armior.							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C & 110/a)-(d) or (f)						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 55 5.5.5. § 115(a)-(a) or (i).						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received							
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		on No						
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But	ity documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National	Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•		Larra Para Para N					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT						
S. Patent and Trademark Office								

Response to Amendment

This is in response to an amendment file on January 7th, 2004. In the amendment, claims 23-24, 27 and 32 have been amended, no claim has been canceled, and no claim has been added.

Claims 21-24, 27-29, 32-34 remain pending in the letter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 21-24, 27-29 and 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miwa et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,230,268) in view of Ueda et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,289,102).
- 3. As per claim 21, Miwa et al teach a method of recording digital data onto a medium comprising detecting from digital data any digital watermark that is electronically embedded in the digital data wherein the digital watermark is electronically embedded in the digital data through a transformation or the digital data and if the watermark is detected, then performing access control for the digital data using the watermark (see abstract, column 2 lines 35-3 line16, 3 lines 41-55). Miwa et al fail to teach an inventive concept of scrambling the digital data with digital watermark, and recording the scrambled digital data with digital watermark onto a medium. However, Ueda et al teach an inventive concept of scrambling the digital data with

Application/Control Number: 09/439,264

Art Unit: 3621

digital watermark, and recording the scrambled digital data with digital watermark onto a medium (see abstract, column 2 lines 43-52, 3 lines 52-59). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the invention was made to modify Miwa et al's inventive concept to include Ueda et al's of scrambling the digital data with digital watermark, and recording the scrambled digital data with digital watermark onto a medium because this would have ensured the prevention of the content recorded in the information recording medium from being illegally copied so as to realize secured copyright protection.

- 4. As per claim 22, Miwa et al teach a method of determining whether copying/recording of the digital data is to be stopped or continued (column 4 lines 10-39).
- 5. As per claim 23, Miwa et al teach a method further comprises embedding a copy mark into the digital data in accordance with a content of the digital watermark (see abstract, column 2 lines 35-3 line 16, 3 lines 41-55).
- 6. As per claim 24,Miwa et al teach a method of recording digital data onto a medium comprising detecting from digital data any digital watermark that is electronically embedded in the digital data wherein the digital watermark and a copy mark that is electronically embedded in the digital data through a transformation or the digital data and if the watermark is detected, then performing playback control for the descrambled digital data using the watermark and the copy mark (see abstract, column 2 lines 35-3 line16, 3 lines 41-55). Miwa et al fail to teach an inventive concept reading a scrambled digital data from the medium and descrambling the

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/439,264

Art Unit: 3621

Page 4

scrambled digital data read from the medium. However, Ueda et al teach an inventive concept of reading a scrambled digital data from the medium and descrambling the scrambled digital data read from the medium (see abstract, column 4 lines 25-34, 53-65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the invention was made to modify Miwa et al's inventive concept to include Ueda et al's of reading a scrambled digital data from the medium and descrambling the scrambled digital data read from the medium because this would have ensured the prevention of the content recorded in the information recording medium from being illegally copied so as to realize secured copyright protection.

As per claim 27 and 32, Miwa et al teach a video driver comprising detecting from digital data any digital watermark that is electronically embedded in the digital data wherein the digital watermark and a copy mark that is electronically embedded in the digital data through a transformation or the digital data and if the watermark is detected, then performing playback control for the descrambled digital data using the watermark and the copy mark (see abstract, column 2 lines 35-3 line16, 3 lines 41-55). Miwa et al fail to teach an inventive for decoding a scrambled digital data and descrambling the scrambled digital data. However, Ueda et al teach an inventive concept of for decoding a scrambled digital data and descrambling the scrambled digital data (see abstract, column 4 lines 25-34, 53-65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the invention was made to modify Miwa et al's inventive concept to include Ueda et al's of for decoding a scrambled digital data and descrambling the scrambled digital data because this would have ensured the prevention of the content recorded in

Application/Control Number: 09/439,264 Page 5

Art Unit: 3621

the information recording medium from being illegally copied so as to realize secured copyright protection.

8. As per claim 28, 29, 33 and 34, Miwa et al teach a video driver card wherein the scrambling digital data is an MPEG stream, and determining whether or not to output the MPEG stream and adding a copy mark to the MPEG stream (see fig 4, column 5 lines 41-63, 6 lines 18-49).

Response to Arguments

- 9. Applicant's arguments filed September 5, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
 - a. Applicant amended the claims 21, 24, 27 and 32 by adding a common key used for encrypting, coding and scrambling digital data and decrypting, decoding and descrambling digital data. Applicant argues that the prior art fail to teach and common key for encrypting, coding and scrambling digital data and decrypting, decoding and descrambling digital data. Examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant characterization of the prior arts. Ueda et al teach a system wherein the information reproducing device uses the table recorded in the scramble information sector and the key for the scrambling that descramble processing can be performed. A seed key field where the key used at the time of scrambling (hereinafter, referred to as a seed key) is recorded, and a use identifying information field where information for identifying use of the file is

Application/Control Number: 09/439,264

Art Unit: 3621

recorded. In the scramble flag field is recorded value 1 indicating that scrambleprocessing has been performed. In the seed key field is recorded a key to be used for descramble-processing for the main data field. Furthermore, in the use identifying information field is recorded information on the use of the recorded data such as industrial use or consumer use, and is recorded information indicating a reproduction restriction in the case where the use of the information reproducing device is different from the use identifying information. Furthermore, in the main data field is recorded data having been subjected to the scramble-processing determined by a scramble system specified by the scramble information sector in the lead-in area and the seed key in the sector header field in the scrambled sector. More specifically, a preset data is determined based on the value recorded in the seed key field and referring to the table in the scramble information sector. Then, by using the random number sequence determined by the preset data, scrambled/descramble-processing is possible. In the following description, the seed key is the same for every file (see column 15 line 22-59). Moreover, the concept of using the same key for encryption and coding is known as symmetric key cryptography process and is well known in the cryptographic art.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

'Art Unit: 3621

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Firmin Backer whose telephone number is (703) 305-0624. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on (703) 305-9768. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Firmin Backer

Art Unit 362